Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) GLASSMAN ET AL.	
10/815,016		
Examiner	Art Unit	
NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621	

	NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire In Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MIPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further continuous they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	E below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	A Government of Mark of Albert Co.		DTOL 004)		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3.4.7-9.22-29.31-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See attachment.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:					
/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)